Developing the LGA’s Sector-Led Improvement Finance Offer

**Purpose**

This paper outlines the latest position on work to develop the LGA’s sector-led improvement offer with respect to financial issues. The Board’s views and comments are invited. A final report will be submitted to the Board at its January 2015 meeting.

**Summary**

The current sector-led improvement offer as it relates to financial issues has evolved over a number of years. As we move forward, the importance of local councils ensuring they have strategies to maintain financial sustainability will become increasingly important, and this is happening against a background of change and increased financial risk. A review of the current approach to financial issues within the sector-led improvement offer is underway.

The report sets out the achievements of the review so far and some of the issues raised. An outline timetable for the rest of the process is attached as an appendix.

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommendation**  The Board is invited to express its views on the process and the issues raised.  **Action**  Officers to progress the work in accordance with the timetable, taking account of the comments of the Board. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contact officer:** | Alan Finch |
| **Position:** | Principal Adviser, Finance |
| **Phone no:** | 0207 664 3085 |
| **Email:** | [alan.finch@local.gov.uk](mailto:alan.finch@local.gov.uk) |

**Developing the LGA’s Sector-Led Improvement Finance Offer**

**Background**

1. Effective financial management in the public sector is about getting money to the right places and spending it in ways where it can deliver most benefit. Behind this lies a range of key activities: setting a sustainable financial strategy, planning and budgeting, delivering and reporting results and scrutinising outcomes. Getting this approach right has never been more important to local authorities than it is now.
2. The LGA’s sector-led improvement offer to local government has developed over a period that has coincided with large-scale change in the way local authorities operate. A key driver for this change has been financial; the reduction in government funding, increased spending pressure and changes in the way funding from government is distributed have changed the way councils need to plan their finances. At the same time an imperative for transformation of services, innovation and partnership working demands new approaches to financial decision-making and financial risk.
3. Work with councils has identified a demand for good practice and support to help them manage their overall finances. This can range from achieving productivity or efficiency savings through to ways of helping them engage with their local communities to prioritise how they spend resources.

**Issues**

**The current offer**

1. The current LGA sector-led improvement offer as it relates to financial issues has developed over a number of years. The Corporate Peer Challenge (CPC) methodology reflects financial issues; one of the key guiding questions for each CPC is, “Does the council have a financial plan in place to ensure long term viability and is there evidence that it is being implemented successfully?”
2. The current offer also includes:

Finance Health Check An in-depth technical analysis and assessment of financial management conducted over two days by a qualified associate.

Budget Challenge Aimed at leaders and finance leads, a facilitated discussion with a member peer on budget options and issues.

Diagnostics Modelling and analysis of an authority’s financial position, incorporating, amongst other information, its Future Funding Outlook and LGA spidergram.

1. These offers have been developed over time, mostly originating from a period before austerity. Each of the interventions is designed for a specific purpose, and to some extent for a specific audience, and they do not necessarily operate together in a comprehensive way. Furthermore none of these interventions are formally tied into the CPC.
2. Local authorities now face four major financial challenges they have never faced before on the same scale:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Unprecedented reductions in resources and spending pressure** | Approaches to budgeting designed for incremental, annual change are now inadequate. New approaches need to take a long term view and focus on the financial sustainability of the council and its place. |
| **Grant system changes - incentives replacing distribution based on needs** | The distribution of any additional funding to councils is now based on the building of new homes and business premises. Grants based on incentivisation call for solutions that focus on the local economy’s capacity to sustain investment in public services, and vice versa. |
| **Service transformation/new models of working** | Pressure on resources and the change in the distribution system drives new models for delivering public services. Authorities need to ensure there is the right level of financial input to business cases and project plans and systems for driving out the financial benefits of transformation. |
| **Cross organisational/pan-sectoral working** | Traditional approaches to governance and stewardship and the management of risk are focused on the council’s internal workings. Authorities need to devise new ways of providing accountability in an environment where the council no longer controls all the money or makes all the day to day decisions. |

1. All local authorities are affected by these changes but they are affected in different ways. Relatively grant dependent authorities, especially those with upper tier responsibilities, are having to deal with a rapid decline in government grant income relative to spending pressures. Other authorities may not be affected by grant cuts to the same degree but do not necessarily have the capacity readily to undertake major transformational change. Authorities benefiting from incentive-based funding are not guaranteed that funding in the longer term. Each authority needs to choose a strategy for future financial sustainability based on the needs and characteristics of their place and directed by their local policies.

**Impact on sector-led improvement**

1. All of this argues for changes in the sector-led improvement finance offer, which:
   1. enables it to be deployed flexibly in different scenarios - including urgently if necessary
   2. makes it flexible enough to match an authority’s requirements
   3. creates an offer that is joined-up and consistent with the rest of the sector-led improvement offer – for example, is focused upon the needs of the authority as a whole and makes use of peers wherever possible
   4. focuses it on how finance supports the long term goal of financial sustainability and change processes
   5. is therefore focused on far more than the system of financial management itself and involves more than just the finance team.

**An integrated finance offer**

1. Current thinking is that the offer could be centred around a redeveloped Financial Health Check, refocused so it can be delivered, incorporating a member-oriented ‘budget challenge’ if necessary. A version of this offer could also be deployed at short notice using associates where appropriate. A revised Financial Health Check could be deployed as part of the preparation for a CPC, as an action point arising from a peer challenge or as a totally stand-alone offer.
2. The health check would need to be focused on how the whole organisation manages its finances, not restricted to the financial management discipline, and it is suggested that it could be centred around five themes:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Financial leadership | Leaders and top managers appreciate the short, medium and long term financial prospects and take ownership of financial sustainability as an issue. |
| Financial strategy, planning and forecasting | Strategies are in place aiming at long term financial sustainability and plans take account of local needs and strategic priorities. |
| Decision-making | Decisions are taken based on an understanding of the financial implications, risks and options. |
| Financial monitoring and reporting | Financial results are monitored and acted upon and the financial benefits of projects and programmes are realised. |
| Partnership and innovation | The authority works with partners to address financial challenges and has appropriate governance arrangements in place. Finance is seen as part of the innovation process. |

1. This approach will not primarily focus on stewardship (the system of controls and accountabilities), which is covered by statutory audit or on financial systems, which can be assessed through existing commercial products such as the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Financial Management Model. The focus is more likely to be on the support that finance offers to enable financial sustainability and change and, it is felt, will focus around the relationships and processes that enable financial management and financial decision-making to be successfully part of developing and implementing council policy, the corporate vision and strategy.

**Resourcing the offer - peers and associates**

1. In order to deliver a revised financial health check offer to a larger number of authorities, it will be necessary to recruit more financially-qualified peers and associates. Finance peers are also more often required for CPCs. As at July 2014 there were 27 peers on our database who were recorded as having a CIPFA qualification. The aim needs to be to get this up initially to around 50 and then to 80-100. However this is a substantial proportion of the pool of people currently working in senior finance roles in local government and progress has so far been slow. It is hoped to enlist the support of other stakeholders such as CIPFA in publicising the benefits of being a peer to a wider group of potential peers.
2. It should also be the aim to recruit twenty or more associates with senior financial backgrounds from all parts of the country.
3. In view of the difficult financial decisions that politicians are increasingly expected to make, member peers will be crucial to deliver the new offer, especially leaders, former leaders, experienced finance and resources leads. A recruitment drive to enlist more member peers will also be necessary to ensure we can adequately resource all aspects of the new offer.

**Diagnostics**

1. The ability to reflect back to authorities’ data and analysis on their current financial position is vital to the sector-led improvement offer. The Future Funding Outlook modelling has been updated for each authority as well as nationally for 2014 and will need to be revisited on an annual basis.
2. In addition, work is currently being carried out by the Finance Team on updating and extending the spidergram analysis in two ways:
   1. adding more financial indicators to reflect financial pressures and opportunities
   2. demonstrating pace and direction of travel by analysing data between years.
3. Further ways will be sought to take on board and adapt the work currently undertaken by the Audit Commission on authorities’ financial position and performance when the Commission ceases to exist next year.

**Good practice**

1. In order to support authorities fully in their journey towards financial sustainability, it will also be necessary to identify good practice and make it available both as part of the feedback from financial health checks and peer challenges and more widely to the sector as a whole.
2. Good practice will need to be captured from ongoing conversations with authorities and by revisiting previous peer challenges. It can be assumed that where good practice has already been identified – for example the successful implementation of a shared services approach – this is very likely to have included some good practice financial work.
3. From a more or less standing start, it will take time to collect good practice specifically on financial management but initially work will focus on analysing good practice collected from other sources, in particular the CPCs.

**Highlighting Political Leadership**

1. Alongside the work described above, a Leadership Essentials programme on finance has been developed for leaders and finance portfolio holders. This will run for the first time at Warwick as a pilot on 30 - 31 October 2014 and if successful it is intended to run it again early in 2015.

**Next steps**

1. A timetable for the review process is attached at the Appendix.
2. To ensure that the offer that is developed meets the needs of the sector and individual authorities, a wide-ranging fact-finding and consultation exercise is underway and this will take up most of the autumn.
3. A wide range of relevant stakeholders has been identified, including:
   1. members, chief executives and chief financial officers from local authorities, particularly those who have assisted sector-led improvement in the past or have a background in financial development or innovation
   2. regional and special interest groups from the sector, such as the District Councils’ Network (DCN), the County Councils Network (CCN) and the Special Interest Group of Municipal Authorities Within the LGA (SIGOMA)
   3. the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), as the department with oversight of sector-led improvement
   4. existing peers and associates
   5. relevant LGA officers, including those currently responsible for sector-led improvement, and the political group offices
   6. the finance profession – represented by CIPFA and the treasurers societies
   7. others – such as auditors, consultants and support services providers.
4. Of these, it is considered that the finance profession will be absolutely key, both to positioning the offer so that it complements existing arrangements and to getting professional buy-in to an offer, which needs to fit neatly around the Section 151 role and existing fiduciary arrangements within which treasurers operate.
5. Key stakeholders already consulted include DCLG, CIPFA and existing officer and member peers. Workshops with groups of local authority treasurers are being undertaken during October 2014.
6. The Board’s views and comments on the approach outlined are invited.

**Financial implications**

1. There will be a cost involved in deploying peers and associates into authorities at greater scale than is currently achieved. Financial health checks and budget challenges are currently each subject to a charge to councils; £4000 for a health check and £2000 for a budget challenge. Using these as a guideline, the cost of running, say, 30 combined health checks would be in the region of £180,000 and a decision would need to be taken as to how much of this if any would be charged back to councils. The financial implications of the final proposed offer will be assessed and will be subject to a recommendation at a future meeting.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Design | Review of current offer  Set design principles for new offer | Consultations and workshops with key stakeholders | September/ October 2014 |
| Diagnostics | Spidergram update and enhancement | Finance & Policy Team | September 2014 |
| Peer recruitment | Recruitment of more financially qualified peers | LGA team | July 2014 and ongoing |
| 1st report | Approval of design principles and initial resource implications | SLT  I&I Board  Resources Board | Early October 2014  October 2014 |
| Build | Creation of detailed documentation and tools | LGA team  Professional advisers | October/ November 2014 |
| Pilots | Prototype offer to be piloted with volunteer authorities | Peer support team | December 2014/ January 2015 |
| 2nd report | Formal adoption/ launch | I&I Board  Resources Board | January/ February 2015 |